1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS		
2	HOUSTON DIVISION		
3		CR. NO. H-14-637-4 HOUSTON, TEXAS	
4	VS	SEPTEMBER 15, 2017	
5	DANIELA GOZES-WAGNER . 1	SEPTEMBER 15, 2017 10:22 A.M. to 10:42 A.M.	
6			
7	TRANSCRIPT OF PRETRIAL CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE MELINDA HARMON		
8	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT JUDGE	
9			
10	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :		
11 12	N. T.	MR. MICHAEL CHU MR. JAMES D. MCALISTER Office of the U.S. Attorney	
13	1	1000 Louisiana Suite 2300	
14	I I	Houston, Texas 77002	
15		MR. THOMAS B. DUPONT II	
16		Dupont and Dupont 2500 East T.C. Jester Blvd Suite 525	
17		Houston, Texas 77008	
18	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:	MS. KATHY L. METZGER	
19	U.S.	J.S. Courthouse 515 Rusk	
20	F	Room 8004 Houston, Texas 77002	
21		713-250-5208	
22	THIS TRANSCRIPT HAS BEEN FURNISHED AT PUBLIC EXPENSE UNDER THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT AND MAY BE USED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY COURT ORDER. UNAUTHORIZED REPRODUCTION WILL RESULT IN AN ASSESSMENT AGAINST COUNSEL FOR THE COST OF AN ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY AT THE OFFICIAL RATE. General Order 94-15, United States District Court, Southern District of Texas. Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.		
23			
24			
25			
-			

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (Open court, defendant present.) THE COURT: Next case, United States versus Daniela 3 Gozes-Wagner, Criminal No. H-14-637, Defendant No. 4. 4 5 MR. CHU: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael Chu and 10:22:38 Jim McAlister for the United States. 6 7 THE COURT: Good morning. 8 MR. DUPONT: Good morning, Your Honor. Tom Dupont for 9 Ms. Gozes-Wagner. THE COURT: Good morning. 10 10:22:47 We are set for trial on the 25th of September. 11 denied the motion for a continuance yesterday, but I understand 12 you're still fighting for it, right, Mr. Dupont? 13 MR. DUPONT: Yes, Your Honor. 14 10:23:01 15 THE COURT: Well, tell me about it. 16 MR. DUPONT: All right. So after the Court denied my 17 motion, I, of course, filed, I think, it's Document 188, which was a motion to reconsider my denial of the motion for 18 Initially I asked the Court -- it's a long motion 19 continuance. the Court read, 17 pages, I believe, but arguing that basically 20 10:23:26 Ms. Gozes-Wagner and I don't believe that we're going to be 21 able to get a fair and impartial jury pool here in a week -- in 22 ten days. Of course, I elaborated on that. I would like to 23 24 touch upon some of those ideas again this morning, but also personally how Hurricane Harvey affected my practice, focusing 25 10:23:49

10:23:55

10:24:09

10:24:32 10

10:24:56 15

10:25:22 20

10:25:42 25

really on the two weeks that collectively we all lost dealing with the after -- immediate aftermath of Hurricane Harvey.

I think what you'll hear me argue today, Your Honor, what I initially argued was the Court to consider moving the trial to December or January or a time reasonable for the Court, given the arguments. I've honed down that -- in the motion to reconsider, I've honed down my time request to two weeks, which would be equal to what we lost for trial preparation, in essence. Of course, we understand that Hurricane Harvey was a Category 4 hurricane that struck landfall August 25th, 2017. It's on record as being the worst natural disaster in United States' history.

I've put in the motion the number of people displaced, how many people lost their power, their homes, that Houston was underwater, the economic impact we're going to see from people that have been either first truly displaced without homes and might not be able to even get a summons to come down here, or people that I classify that weren't, I guess the lucky ones, myself included, but that still have ramifications for dealing with a storm system this size. And it might show that the people that show up in court will be the ones that were the lucky ones.

But my argument entails, Your Honor, that, of course, the better act of criminal jurisprudence is to have a fair and impartial trial. And I shudder to have to think that

we're going to have people that come down here that are 1 10:25:46 wondering about their adjuster is going to be calling them to 2 3 have to get back to home to miss that repair call or they're trying to remediate their own homes and really focusing on 4 5 things that shouldn't be involved in this building, in this 10:26:03 There's no way to know that, of course. That's why 6 courtroom. I filed the motion, but the fear is real. The fear is real to 7 me, and it's certainly really real to my client. 8 This event was a one in 1,000-year event. 9 10:26:23 10 11 12 13 underwater. 14

10:26:43

10:27:03

10:27:25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mean, none of us likely, hopefully, would have to face something like this again. But the reality is we still have people in the Southern District of Texas whose homes are It's perhaps akin, given the magnitude of how this affected some of the people in the Southern District and really in about four states, it would be akin to -- as 9-11 just happened Monday, I was thinking about folks in New York that would have to try a case after some spectacular event like this.

And I want the Court -- the Court knows that this trial is set really within 30 days of this disaster that affected all of us. I could see folks that have posttraumatic stress disorder. Admittedly, as I stayed up three days watching to see if my home would flood and moving all my furniture up, I believe I experienced a mild form of that myself, and folks have indicated that likely we all did,

10:27:28

10:27:41

10:28:07 10

10:28:28

10:28:48 20

10:29:13

because this storm event affected everybody, not just the poor people or not just rich people or a certain color. It affected all of us.

Another thing I argued, Your Honor, is displaced aggression. There can be an idea that people are going to come down here and they're going to want to perhaps take the anger that they have from this storm event and take it out somewhere misplaced. This is a fraud case involving upwards of -- billing upwards of \$50 million. And I would argue that it's just too soon, and I think it's unsafe to have a motion that we're all jurors.

And, of course, the issue of cars and parking, this is yet to be unseen, but even the marshals this morning told me that the garage across the street won't be serviceable until December at the earliest. It took me at least a half hour to find a place to park. And this is a voluminous and complex jury trial. We're going to have to be ushering over our exhibits, which is at least six 3-inch binders that we have that the government provided us. But at least in terms of just ushering the discovery in, that's -- that can pose a problem.

That's my argument with regards to hoping and praying that we can have a fair cross-section of our community to come down without bias inherent because of it, not anybody's fault, not mine or Mr. Chu's or yours, but because of a natural disaster.

10:30:57

As this affected my personal practice, Your Honor, even as early as Monday, one judge in the state system threatened to arrest another judge, because we're all now packed into one courthouse. A subset of my practice is in the state system, representing a majority of felony indigent accused people. But I don't know where I'm going to court each day right now really until the morning or the day before. They're having morning and afternoon dockets. I do believe my two trials are going to be rescheduled, because they're -- the state system doesn't even believe they're going to be able to have jury summonses remailed in these things until perhaps early November, and I think that's optimistic.

But right now we're just not yet healed from Harvey. So I would like to just argue a few things, just to bring up to the Court, which weren't in the motion. During this time period I have been in discussion with Mr. Chu. We have been talking. We've not sat on -- and I've not sat on my hands and done nothing. I've pushed the ball forward. I've engaged other lawyers to help me. They're not here today, but they're doing some discovery items that I've asked them to do, really since I got the Court's ruling the other day.

I will share to the Court that if you -- Mr. Chu argued in his motion in opposition to my mine, that, yes, this case has been continued several times, but it was for a number of reasons. One of the defendants in the case, you may recall,

10:32:06

10:32:54 25

hung himself after he got bail and then was denied bail, and there are other things that have occurred in this case to allow reasons for the trial to be continued. But notably, Your Honor, on the last motion filed, which is on May 23rd, was the government's motion, which I was unopposed, because they had an issue with an essential witness. We didn't oppose that at all. So I don't believe I personally filed a motion for continuance unless it was joined initially in the beginning when all the other lawyers were present in the case.

In 18 years, Your Honor, I've never been found to be ineffective and I'm pretty proud of that, but this situation has caused stress. It's causing anxiety for me, not just this case. I've had the time. I'm just about there. But there's outside stresses. And losing two weeks of critical trial prep 30 days before a jury trial, when most lawyers really kind of are sharping their knives and doing those things has caused stress upon me.

All that said, how do we proceed? At the end of the day I would like the two weeks back I lost, and I offer for the Court to consider a mid-October setting, which is what I pled in the motion to reconsider, or if my fears are valid, I would agree to pick a jury on the 25th and let's just see, because, you know, I know the Court allows time to voir dire. I've tried two in here with you. But now I'm going to have to introduce questions with regards to how Hurricane Harvey has

affected them in their ability to be fair and impartial and 1 10:32:57 2 3 4 5 10:33:11 6 7 8 9 10 10:33:34 11 12 13 14 10:33:55 15 16 17 18 deny the motion, perhaps between us lawyers, with the Court's 19 approval, we could get a triplicate form questionnaire to 20 10:34:21 provide to the prospective venire to -- that is strictly Harvey 21 related. We could formulate it where it's fair to everybody 22 here, have them fill it out, which perhaps they won't be 23 24 embarrassed about their questions and answers. We could 25 critique those responses, perhaps have individual voir dire. 10:34:43

could they serve without violating their conscious and missing phone calls from insurance adjusters and these things. know, that would cut into what I would otherwise inquire about in jury selection. Perhaps seeing if my concerns are valid, we could have a test run. If we can pick a jury, we can tell them you can come back in two weeks and we'll start up then, which would give me two additional weeks that I lost to continue to work with the government, which I plan to do. If not, if the panel gets busted somehow, because, first, we don't know how many are going to show up and then, secondly, if they are, in fact, somehow bothered by -- they're not going to be able to give the time it needs -- which this case is estimated, by the government, to take about a week and it could go a little bit See, there's going to be some defensive issues raised, and I think a week is just optimistic. I personally think it's going to take eight to ten days, but that's my personal belief. Counsel and I have talked about if the Court does

10:34:46

10:35:04

10:35:22 10

10:35:45

10:36:04 20

10:36:21 25

It's unusual, I get it, but I think this situation is unusual.

We could have that done, if the Court -- my fear is losing time on Harvey when I have other things to talk about in a 50-million-dollar health care fraud conspiracy. And there's two counts.

Lastly, Your Honor, it's kind of like, I find it akin to if the Court had a trial setting for me at a date certain and then one day called my office and said, Mr. Dupont, we're moving your trial up two weeks, and there's nothing you can really do about it. And I just lose two weeks of trial prep. And so I'm making an argument that that's -- the situation here is similar to that.

ask for some special consideration so late-minute discovery can get done, and the government has already addressed that with me, because, you know, earlier this month I had scheduled to give my exhibits and discovery to Mr. Chu. So perhaps we could at least have understandings, which I believe we have, that the Court be sensitive to -- and my side is not voluminous, but there are bank records and things that we have to get in shape to give to you and the jury.

So I would ask if the Court decides to deny the two-week request, based on the foregoing, we might even have another pretrial conference just with the Court and the lawyers so we could address and fine-tune these things. That's about

10:36:25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1

10:36:31

10:36:47

12 13

14

10:37:06 15

17

16

18

19

20 10:37:23

22

23

21

24

25 10:37:38

it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Chu?

MR. CHU: Your Honor, as the Court can tell, the parties have worked closely together for the past -- not even just the past few weeks but also the past few months, maybe even the past couple -- year, year and a half. I can tell from the completeness of Mr. Dupont's presentation, he's given this a lot of thought and the parties have, again, worked together to try to find a fair compromise for this and other things.

The United States is also very sympathetic to this idea that Harvey has affected our community, but when it comes right down to it, you know, while the United States also wants a fair and impartial panel for this jury, we feel that these kind of issues can be addressed in the same manner that any other biases or questions can be addressed with any panel, which is to have the parties question the panel and just sense what sort of biases or issues may come up.

I think the idea of a questionnaire is a great The parties will work together as it has in the past to just try to come up with something that's fair and will reveal what sort of issues will come up with this panel. But when it comes right down to it, these issues can be addressed in other ways other than continuing this trial.

From our perspective, we have a number of young witnesses who did not receive a lot of money from this.

Ι

are mostly the young Hispanic women that Daniela Wagner hired 1 10:37:41 2 to work for her in these offices. And every time we continue 3 this trial, you know, they have to arrange for child care. They have to arrange for transportation. They have to arrange 4 5 for work absences. And it's an enormous difficulty in their 10:37:55 lives. And we've continued this trial several times and not 6 always because of the defendant, but the effect is that we're 7 8 continuing to impose on their lives. And for those reasons, we ask that the trial just go forward as we scheduled it. 9 they planned for it for several months now. What happened with 10 10:38:13 Harvey was unfortunate, but if they're willing to make the 11 sacrifice to show up, if Mr. McAlister here was here out of his 12 house for two weeks is going to show up for the trial, I 13 respectfully ask that we continue with our trial date and keep 14 10:38:28 15 the current trial date. I understand what you're saying, 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 Mr. Dupont, but I really -- it sounds to me from what you've said, that you are just about ready to go to trial. You've 18 got -- you have done your trial prep. A few more things that 19 you need to get done, but certainly ten days is plenty of time 20 10:38:46 to do those things. I really do believe that this 21 questionnaire thing is a great idea, and I think that would be 22 a way of addressing the issues that you've brought up. 23 24 that Judge Gilmore tried a jury trial earlier this week. don't know if it was a criminal trial or a civil trial, but, I 25 10:39:09

10:40:58

mean, she did get a jury picked and they went to trial. So, I mean, I don't think that Harvey is going to create such an impact on the criminal justice system that we can't get a jury. I mean, I think with some careful questioning, I think we can get a fair and impartial jury. So that to me, that's the most important thing.

And so I'm going to deny your motion. I'm going to stay with my original denial and ask y'all to put your heads together to come up with a questionnaire that you both agree to. And I know that Ms. Hawkins has -- the case manager has mentioned to me that we probably need more jurors than we -- or more venire people than we would ordinarily have. So we'll have more people, because of the possibilities to Harvey having affected their lives that much.

And so I would like to have, if you can, have your questionnaire to me, say, Wednesday of next week. And I'll look it over and make any changes that I think need to be made and then we'll get Ms. Hawkins to prepare the questionnaires and so then we'll have to -- obviously have to spend extra time on the voir dire because of the questionnaires, which y'all will want to look at what they have to say. So anyway, we'll, I guess -- well, I'll figure it out. We'll figure out what we're going to do, and so we'll see you all back at 9:00 o'clock on the 25th.

MR. DUPONT: Just one scheduling question.

10:42:05

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DUPONT: Okay. So would you just want to pick the jury that Monday and then we would start opening and evidence the next day?

THE COURT: Yeah, I think --

MR. CHU: Actually, Your Honor, if I could be heard on that.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. CHU: Pushing back the trial another week after we pick the jury will still affect the government's witnesses in the same way that an original continuance would.

THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not going to delay the -- we're going to take longer with the jury selection than we normally would, but we're not going to delay the trial. I mean, I'm thinking -- usually we can pick the jury -- in a normal case, we can pick the jury in the morning and start the trial in the afternoon. However, I really think probably what we'll have to do is have the veniremen come in, fill out the extra -- their normal -- they've already filled out their normal questionnaire, because that's done before they even come in. But I don't think we have time to send this questionnaire to them like I've done in the past, send the special questionnaire to them and have them fill it out and bring it in. But I would think we could probably have them come in in the morning, fill out the questionnaire, get that to us by, you know, sometime

10:42:08	1	midmorning, because they come in at 8:00 o'clock, I think, and	
	2	you all get to look it over for a couple of hours look them	
	3	over for a couple of hours, make any agreements you might have	
	4	on people that you don't want to bother with, we'll let them	
10:42:25	5	go, and then the rest of the people come in and you can	
	6	question them face-to-face	
	7	MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor.	
	8	THE COURT: in the afternoon, I mean, and that way	
	9	we'll get the jury in the afternoon and we'll start the trial	
10:42:36	on Tuesday.		
	11	MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor.	
	12	THE COURT: Okay? All right. Thank y'all very much.	
	13	MR. CHU: Thank you.	
	14	THE COURT: Look forward to receiving that	
10:42:44	15 questionnaire.		
	16	MR. CHU: May the parties be excused, Your Honor?	
	17	THE COURT: Yes, you may. Thank you.	
	18	(Concluded at 10:42 a.m)	
	19	* * *	
	20	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the	
	21	record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause, to the best	
	22	of my ability.	
	23		
	24	/s/ <u>Xathy L. Metager</u> — <u>4-21-2019</u> Kathy L. Metzger — Date	
	25	Official Court Reporter	